

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON

CINDY BENTLEY, individually, and on behalf )  
of all other persons similarly situated, )

Plaintiff, )

v. )

ALBERTSONS, a foreign corporation, )

Defendant. )

Civil No. 07-10-AS

ORDER

A. E. Bud Bailey  
James D. Pinney  
Karen A. Moore  
BAILEY PINNEY & ASSOCIATES, LLC  
Columbia Tech Center  
1498 S.E. Tech Center Place, Suite 290  
Vancouver, WA 98683

Attorneys for Plaintiff

Andrea D. Coit  
Sharon A. Rudnick  
HARRANG LONG GARY RUDNICK, PC  
360 E. 10th Avenue, Suite 300  
Eugene, OR 97401-3273

Joshua B. Waxman

W. Randolph Teslik  
AKIN GUMP STRAUSS HAUER & FELD, LLP  
1333 New Hampshire Avenue, N.W., Suite 1000  
Washington, D.C. 20036

Attorneys for Defendant

JONES, Judge:

Magistrate Judge Donald C. Ashmanskas filed Findings and Recommendation (#55) on July 24, 2007, in the above entitled case. The matter is now before me pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b). When either party objects to any portion of a magistrate judge's Findings and Recommendation, the district court must make a de novo determination of that portion of the magistrate judge's report. See 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); McDonnell Douglas Corp. v. Commodore Business Machines, Inc., 656 F.2d 1309, 1313 (9th Cir. 1981), cert. denied, 455 U.S. 920 (1982).

Plaintiff has timely filed objections. I have, therefore, given de novo review of Magistrate Judge Ashmanskas's rulings.

I find no error. Accordingly, I ADOPT Magistrate Judge Ashmanskas's Findings and Recommendation (#55) dated July 23, 2007, in its entirety. Plaintiff's motion (#10) to remand is granted. Plaintiff's motions (#19 and #46) to strike are denied.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this 13th day of September, 2007.

/s/ Robert E. Jones  
ROBERT E. JONES  
U.S. District Judge